

IN THE DRAWINGS

Attached hereto are substitute Figures 1 and 2 with corrections shown in red.

REMARKS

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This rejection is respectfully traversed. In a second preliminary amendment filed February 17, 1999, claims 1-8 were cancelled and new claims 9-24 were submitted. It is believed that claims 9-24 conform to all of the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112.

Additionally, since claims 1-8 have been cancelled and the specification makes reference to these claims in the Summary of the Invention, the material from claims 1-8 has been incorporated in the specification by this amendment.

Disclosure

The disclosure is objected to because the numerals on pages 2/6 and 2/9 are said not to be found on the drawings. Numeral 28.1 in Figure 2 is said not to be found in the specification.

Accordingly, submitted herewith are substitute Figures 1 and 2 with the changes shown in red. The specification has been amended to include a description of numeral 28.1.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By

Anne M. Kornbau
Registration No. 25,884

AMK:rd
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
itt3\amk\vinz2.amd